

Patent Attorney's Docket No. 99-836

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of			)	
James J. DREW et al			j	Group Art Unit: 3623
Application No.: 09/953,118		) )	Examiner: Robinson Boyce, Akiba K	
Filed: June 13, 2000		)		
For:	CUSTO	HOD FOR EVALUATING OMER VALUE TO GUIDE LTY AND RETENTION RAMS	) ) )	
AMENDMENT/REPLY TRANSMITTAL LETTER				
Commissioner For Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Sir:				
Enclosed is a reply for the above-identified patent application.				
		A Petition for Extension of Ti		
		A Terminal Disclaimer and a check for \$\sum \$55.00 \$\sum \$110.00\$ to cover the requisite Government fee are also enclosed.		
		Applicant(s) request continue	d exa	mination under 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 and enclos
		the \$\Boxed{\Boxes} \$385.00 \$\Boxed{\Boxes} \$77	0.00	fee due under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(e).
-		Applicant(s) previously submitted, on, for which continued examination is requested.		
		A request for Entry and Consis also enclosed.	iderat	ion of Submission under 37 C.F.R. § 1.129(a

→→ USPATENT-AMEND 2007

PATENT

Attorney Docket No. 99-82

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of:

James Howard DREW et al.

Application No.: 09/593,118

Filed: June 13, 2000

For: A METHOD FOR EVALUATING
CUSTOMER VALUE TO GUIDE
LOYALTY AND RETENTION
PROGRAMS

CUSTOMER VALUE TO GUIDE
DOYALTY AND RETENTION
PROGRAMS

CUSTOMER VALUE TO GUIDE
DOYALTY AND RETENTION
PROGRAMS

CUSTOMER VALUE TO GUIDE
CONTRACTOR OF CON

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

## REPLY TO OFFICE ACTION

In reply to the Office Action mailed November 21, 2003, the period for response to which extending through February 23, 2004 (February 21, 2004 being Saturday), Applicants make the following amendments and remarks.

Amendments to the Claims are reflected in the listing of claims in this paper beginning on page 2.

Remarks/Arguments follow the amendment section of this paper beginning on page 20.